

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-12, and 14-15 are pending in the application.

Appreciation is expressed for the indicated allowability of Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-12, and 14-15.

In the accompanying Information Disclosure Statement, Applicants cite to co-pending U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/024,990 ("the '990 application"), commonly assigned to Siebel Systems, Inc. The '990 application was filed on the same date as the present application and cites to the same priority document. Therefore, Applicants submit that the '990 application is not prior to the present Application.

Applicants further submit that the '990 application includes claims of differing scope to those of the present Application. The '990 application names Antonius Adhi Wiryawan, Kit Man Cheng, Weide Ju, Hichem Sellami and Durgesh Kotwal as inventors. While the cited application does not have the same inventive entity as the present Application, Applicants submit that the claimed inventions in both applications were subject to an obligation of assignment to Siebel Systems, Inc. and were, indeed, both assigned to Siebel Systems, Inc. upon filing.

In the accompanying Information Disclosure Statement, Applicants also cite to co-pending U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/025,523 ("the '523 application"), commonly assigned to Siebel Systems, Inc. The '523 application was filed on the same date as the priority document to the present application. Therefore, Applicants submit that the '523 application is not prior to the present Application.



Applicants further submit that the '990 application includes claims of differing scope to those of the present Application. The '990 application names Antonius Adhi

Wiryawan, Weide Ju, Hichem Sellami and Durgesh Kotwal as inventors. Therefore, the '523 application has the same inventive entity as the present Application. Applicants further submit that the claimed inventions in both applications were subject to an obligation of assignment to Siebel Systems, Inc. and were, indeed, both assigned to Siebel Systems, Inc. upon filing.


For these reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the cited applications do not render the present Application obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 103(c)(1); MPEP 804.03. Applicants also submit that a double patenting rejection is not appropriate given the differing claim scope and the common filing dates of the cited applications with the priority document of the present application. *See* MPEP 804.03 (a double-patenting rejection "prevents an organization from obtaining two or more patents with different expiration dates covering nearly identical subject matter.").

### CONCLUSION

In view of the remarks set forth herein, the application and the claims therein are believed to be in condition for allowance without any further examination and a notice to that effect is solicited. Nonetheless, should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at 512-439-5090.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May 31 2007.	
 _____ Attorney for Applicant(s)	 _____ Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

  
 Jonathan N. Geld  
 Attorney for Applicants  
 Reg. No. 44,702  
 (512) 439-5090 [Phone]  
 (512) 439-5099 [Fax]